

ET LE DÉVELOPPEMENT

The impacts of long term CO<sub>2</sub> objectives on short term transportation trends in the European Union

> Frédéric Ghersi (CNRS) Simon McDonnell (UCD, UIC)



## Outline

- 1. EU transportation trends and policy responses
- 2. Model description (in broad strokes!)
- 3. 2 Macroeconomic & energy scenarios
- 4. Road transportation in the 2 scenarios



## European road transportation trends

- Sustained growth in demand
  - 1995-2004: +19% pkm passenger cars, overall mobility 35 km per capita per day (x2 since 1970)
  - 1995-2004: +35% tkm road freight
- Increased modal dominance: road accounts for
  - 85% pkm, 44% tkm
  - 67% of final oil consumption
- Consequences
  - 85% of transport CO<sub>2</sub> (itself 28% of total emissions, 21% of total GHG +23% since 1990)
  - increasing economic costs and environmental damages

# European policy responses: Transportation White Paper (2001)

- Follow on from 1992 White Paper
- Recognition that transport impacts beyond the movement of goods and people (externalities) – sustainability debate
- 2001 Paper key objectives:
  - Maintain high mobility to people and business
  - Environment, energy supply, minimum labour standards
  - Innovate in support of first two aims allowing for increased sustainability and efficiency
  - In addition, connect internationally
- 60 EU level specific measures covering 13 areas
- 2010 is the time horizon but a mid term review (2006) has extended some forecasts to 2020
- Specific long term objectives are beyond the scope of WP

# European policy responses: Sustainable Development Strategy (2001)

- Transport should meet society's economic, social and environmental needs whilst minimising negative transport externalities
  - Decouple economic growth & transport demand
  - Sustainable energy use, reduce GHG and local emissions
  - Mode shares back to 1998 by 2010
- Objectives are outlined and these have been incorporated into EU policymaking since

- 2 degree cap on temp increases over century over preindustrial levels – translated into 60-80% reduction (2050)

- CO<sub>2</sub>: overall and g/km with differentiated targets by type
- Local emissions: generalisation of EURO V, EURO VI
- modal shares: back to 1998 by 2010
- biofuels: 2010, 2015, 2020 targets as shares

# Are these short-term objectives implied by the overarching long-term CO<sub>2</sub> target?

# What are the implications of the overarching target on road transportation?





#### • IMACLIM-R

- A recursive hybrid CGE model
- The world economy in 12 regions and 12 sectors
- Transportation as 3 distinct sectors + a specific household modal trade-off (s.t. budget and time constraint)
- Specifically dedicated to BU integration

#### • POLES

- A recursive model of global energy systems
- 48 reg., ca. 25 final uses, endogenous primary markets
- Transportation: vintage car fleets, 2 other agg. fleets
- Soft-linking through iterative convergence

## 2 contrasted scenarios

#### • Reference scenario (REF)

- Central assumptions re. labour productivity increases
- Benchmark carbon policies (up to  $\in 30$  per ton CO<sub>2</sub> in 2050)
- NB: sustained European growth
- Factor 4 scenario (F4)
  - Carbon profile close to WRE after  $2010 = massive reductions in CO_2 emissions$
  - Through scaling up benchmark carbon prices: up to €911
    (!!!) in IC, €228 in DC (misprinted in paper)
  - ...and extensions of POLES' databases

#### F4 vs REF: emissions





## F4 vs REF: real economic growth

REF	2001-15	2016-30	2030-50	2001-50
Europe	2.1%	2.1%	1.4%	1.8%
Other industrialised countries	2.1%	1.8%	1.8%	1.9%
China and India	5.3%	2.3%	1.7%	2.8%
Fossil fuels exporters	4.4%	3.2%	2.3%	3.1%
Rest of the world	4.2%	3.7%	2.0%	3.1%

F4	2001-15	2016-30	2030-50	2001-50
Europe	1.6%	1.2%	1.4%	1.4%
Other industrialised countries	2.1%	1.5%	1.9%	1.8%
China and India	5.0%	2.3%	2.3%	3.0%
Fossil fuels exporters	4.2%	2.4%	1.8%	2.6%
Rest of the world	4.1%	3.4%	2.4%	3.1%

## Total on-road mobility



#### Virtually no impact on mobility!



## Technology of the LDV fleet



#### Limited technology impact, beyond 2020 only

#### **Energy consumption**



Strong efficiency gains beyond 2015



## Tailpipe CO<sub>2</sub> emissions



#### As per the evolution of fossil fuels consumptions

## Are short-term targets met?

Target	Year	Objective	REF scenario		F4 scenario	
			achieved	off by	achieved	off by
Share of biofuels	2010	5.75%	0.82%	86%	0.81%	86%
Share of biofuels	2015	8%	0.92%	88%	0.94%	88%
Share of biofuels	2020	10%	0.98%	90%	1.04%	90%
LDV CO <sub>2</sub> emissions, vintage average	2012	120 g/km	148	23%	146	21%
LDV CO <sub>2</sub> emissions, vintage average	2020	95 g/km	136	43%	130	37%

#### Targets are missed... insensitive to F4 policy

#### Conclusions

- Extreme 2050 F4 objective implies combination of efficiency gains and technology switching
  - No impact on mobility
  - No break-through of biofuels (modelling deficit?)
- Short-term targets unaffectedly missed!
  - Main cause: inertia of vehicle fleets (must extract figures)

 $\Rightarrow$  Specific policy instruments are a necessity... if these goals are to be reached (but why?)